## School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is meant to consolidate all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the consolidated application (ConApp), pursuant to the California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

The purpose of the SPSA is to increase the overall effectiveness of the school program by crafting a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement.

The School Site Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications in the plan to reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable, pursuant to EC 52853(b) and 52855.

California's ESSA State Plan significantly shifts the state's approach to the utilization of federal resources in support of underserved student groups. The SPSA provides schools with the opportunity to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students.

The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the LEA that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement.
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In the pages that follow, please describe the school's plan for making the best use of federal ESEA resources in alignment with other federal, state, and local programs.
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## School Vision and Mission

## Vision Statement

Del Sol Academy inspires all learners to achieve beyond their limits and become global innovators.
Del Sol Mission Statement
Our mission is to support the academic and social emotional needs of every student. Through effective and innovative standards based instruction and delivery, guided by the foundations of STEAM education, we cultivate critical thinking and problem solving skills. Learners are valued and supported in an inclusive and compassionate environment.

Del Sol School Motto:
Learners Today, Leaders Tomorrow

## School Profile

Describe The students and community and how school serves them.

## The Story

Del Sol Academy is a STEAM school which opened its doors in August, 2018. The school serves approximately 1,280 students, ranging in ages from Transitional Kindergarten to 8th grade. The school's attendance boundary lies within the northwest region of the Jurupa Unified School District. STEAM refers to curriculum and learning experiences which address and enhance students' knowledge and competencies in the areas of science, technology, engineering, art and mathematics. Inquiry and problem based learning, focused on the Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS), foster student ownership of learning, while laying the groundwork for future success in STEAM related college and career endeavors. Del Sol Academy offers programs to enhance the academic and social emotional well being of all students.

Based on 2022 data from Riverside County, Del Sol's enrollment was 1,298 students and the school demographics were as follows: 64\% Hispanic, 11.6\% White, 8\% Asian, 7.1\% African American, 3.7\% Filipino and 5\% reported being two or more races. English Learners (EL) made-up 19\% of the student population, 3.9\% are Initially Fluent (IF) speakers, and $11.1 \%$ are Reclassified Fluent English Speakers (RFEP). $57.49 \%$ of the population receive free/reduced lunch, and $1.3 \%$ are foster youth, $1.4 \%$ are homeless youth, and $58 \%$ are socioeconomically disadvantaged. Of the student population, $10.6 \%$ receive Specialized Education services. Del Sol is a full inclusion school where all of its Special Education population are being served within the general education setting.

The following three focus areas of the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) are addressed in Del Sol Academy's school plan: 1) college and career readiness, 2) creating and maintaining a safe, orderly, and inviting learning environment, and 3) student and community Engagement.

## SPSA Highlights

Identify and briefly summarize the key features of this year's SPSA.
The SPSA for Del Sol aligns with the LCAP goals of College and Career Readiness; Safe, Orderly, and Inviting Learning Environments; and Student and Community Engagement.
Key features include:

* Continuing development of AVID initiatives to support school-wide implementation.
* Furthering Math practices and refining instruction aligned to California State Standards \& focus on conceptual knowledge and rigorous problem solving techniques
* Continuing development of instructional practices and interventions to support a rigorous reading and writing program at all grade levels.
* Further developing integrated ELD practices enhanced with academic language structures while providing Designated ELD
* Continuing development of Positive Behavior Programs (PBIS) as well as social emotional learning (SEL) to support school culture, safety, and a positive earning environment.
* Increase implementation of technology and creating activities to enhance learning experiences for students
* Further develop and enhance the STEAM program and curriculum.
*Effectively utilize online resources to provide information to enhance communication and increase student and parent engagement.
* Provide after school extended learning opportunities (ELO) to support students' academic and social emotional learning (SEL) needs.


## Review of Performance - Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Based on a review of performance on the state indicators and local performance indicators included in the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, progress toward SPSA goals local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what progress is the school most proud of and how does the school plan to maintain or build upon that success? This may include identifying any specific examples of how past increases or improvements in services for low-income students, English learners, and foster youth have led to improved performance for these students.

## Greatest Progress

Asian and Filipino students scored in the medium and very high range, respectively, on ELA CAASPP. White and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students scored in the low range, scoring 7.5 and 55.9 points below the standard.

DSA scored in the medium range for suspension rates, $2.3 \%$ of students being suspended for at least one day. English learners, Asian, and Filipino subgroups fell in the very low range for suspension rates. Restorative practices have been utilized to build community and manage conflicts effectively.
$5 \%$ of students reclassified. DSA scored in the medium range on the English Learner Progress Indicator, with a current status of $52.4 \%$. Extended learning opportunity classes were offered to support English Learners in the area of reading and writing.

Referring to the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, identify any state indicator or local performance indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category or where the school received a "Not Met" or "Not Met for Two or More Years" rating. Additionally, identify any areas that the school has determined need significant improvement based on review of local performance indicators or other local indicators. What steps is the school planning to take to address these areas with the greatest need for improvement?

## Greatest Needs

Our data indicates that we have a low percentage, $11.87 \%$, of students scoring in the Well Developed range on ELPAC. More specifically, students need support in the area of writing. ELPAC data shows that students scored at a $5.9 \%$ at the well developed range in the area of Writing, and a $4.57 \%$ in the well developed range in the area of written language performance.

All student groups demonstrated a high chronic absenteeism.

As a school in the area of ELA on the CAASPP assessment, we scored in the low range, 36.8 points below the standard. Our English Learner and Students with Disabilities subgroups scored in the very low range, scoring 83.6 and 102.9 points below the standard, respectively.

As a school in the area of Math on the CAASPP assessment, we scored in the low range, 68.1 points below the standard. Our English Learner, Students with Disabilities, and African American subgroups scored in the very low range, scoring 102.4, 139.6 and 98.1 points below the standard, respectively.

DSA has started an attendance committee, meeting monthly to analyze data and develop strategic measures to boost attendance. DSA will focus on English Learners, math and writing in the 2023-2024 school year.

Referring to the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, identify any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance. What steps is the school planning to take to address these performance gaps?

## Performance Gaps

CAASPP performance in ELA had student groups with a performance gap: Students with disabilities and EL students were very low while all students were in the low range.

There was a gap in our overall school data for suspension rates and our African American subgroup. As a school, we scored in the medium range and our African American scored in the very high range.

On the ELPAC assessment, our English Learners scored higher in the area of oral language performance and listening and significantly lower in the areas of reading and writing.

DSA has started an attendance committee, meeting monthly to analyze data and develop strategic measures to boost attendance. DSA will focus on English Learners, math and writing in the 2023-2024 school year.

## Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Schoolwide Program<br>Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.
As a Title I Schoolwide program, DSA performs a Comprehensive Needs Assessment annually and develops the SPSA with educational partners. The SPSA includes strategies that supports the instruction of the state standards and addresses the needs of all students, particularly those at risk of not meeting these standards through high interest activities and research based strategies and interventions. SPSA implementation is monitored and evaluated through an ongoing process on site by the leadership team, SSC, and ELAC committees and at the district level via School Based Coordinated Program meetings, principal meetings, and annual budget/program meetings. The SPSA is revised to ensure continuous improvement based on data analysis of student learning needs. Al Title I funding supplements and does not supplant services that students would otherwise receive if not participating in a Title I program. Relative to LCAP alignment, our plan is directly coordinated with district LCAP goals, associated services, and planning requirements.

## Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

## Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

As part of the planning process for the SPSA/Annual Review our School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee, GATE, SPED and leadership teams have helped to review the specific needs of the site. CAASPP scores, local assessment data, ELPAC results, NWEA results, attendance rates, discipline data D/F grades (grades 7-8), and various students/teacher/parent survey results were used to identify Greatest Needs and Performance Gaps. The principal has also received support from our district School Based Coordinated Program-SBCP, Principal and Budget and Program Meetings.
To address the specific needs of our English Learners, our ELAC committee completed a Comprehensive Needs Assessment. Committee members shared ideas for improvement. SSC committee and leadership team members helped provide input on how to best support the needs of our resource inequity subgroups to include English Learners, African American students, students with disabilities, and students with two or more races. Ongoing conversations and data analysis were utilized as part of planning for improvement.

## Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.
Resource inequities include four subgroups at DSA. These include African American students (AA), English Learners (EL), students with disabilities (SWD), and students with two or more races. The California dashboard indicated that our AA, EL, and SWD subgroups have inequities in math, scoring in the very low range on CAASPP. Our EL and SWD subgroups have inequities in the area of ELA, scoring in the very low range on CAASPP. Support teachers will place special emphasis on selecting students for small group support in the areas of reading and math. These students will also be invited to ELO enrichment courses. All identified subgroups scored in the very high range for chronic absenteeism. Special attention will be given to purchasing books that connect with various cultures on campus, especially EL, AA, and SWD to build cultural sensitivity and an appreciation of differences. Measures will be taken to provide a more inclusive and welcoming environment to help promote positive attendance trends.
We have identified our African American students are being suspended at a higher rate than their peers. We will implement Additional Targeted Support and Improvements to support our African American students to decrease their suspension rates. We will provide training and professional development for our staff as related to restorative practices, de-escalating challenging behaviors, interventions, Social Emotional Learning, and best practices to support all students, but with a careful emphasis on how we can best support our African American student population. We will provide counseling/mentoring to support and help to engage our African American students.
Throughout the SPSA, specific actions have been identified to support these subgroups to support the identified inequities in the area of academics and attendance

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment

 Enrollment By Student GroupStudent Enrollment Enrollment By Grade Level

| Student Enrollment by Grade Level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Kindergarten | 147 | 175 | 185 |
| Grade 1 | 132 | 135 | 136 |
| Grade 2 | 128 | 136 | 141 |
| Grade3 | 118 | 137 | 131 |
| Grade 4 | 138 | 118 | 142 |
| Grade 5 | 112 | 155 | 122 |
| Grade 6 | 122 | 114 | 161 |
| Grade 7 | 127 | 129 | 114 |
| Grade 8 | 117 | 120 | 115 |
| Total Enrollment | 1,141 | 1,219 | 1,247 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Enrollment has been slowly, but steadily, increasing since the 2020-2021 school year.
2. Enrollment increases are more evident in primary grades, Kinder to third grade.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment

English Learner (EL) Enrollment

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group |  | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ |
| English Learners | 207 | 230 | $\mathbf{2 4 8}$ | $18.10 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP) | 172 | 165 | 151 | $15.10 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ |
| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) | 15 |  |  | $7.2 \%$ |  |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our English Learner enrollment has been slowly, but steadily, increasing since the 2020-2021 school year.
2. The number of students who are scoring in the Fluent English Proficient level during registration for the first time in a US school (JUSD) is steadily declining.

## School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results
English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with Scores |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 | 119 | 143 |  | 0 | 141 |  | 0 | 141 |  | 0.0 | 98.6 |  |
| Grade 4 | 139 | 116 |  | 0 | 114 |  | 0 | 114 |  | 0.0 | 98.3 |  |
| Grade 5 | 112 | 151 |  | 0 | 150 |  | 0 | 150 |  | 0.0 | 99.3 |  |
| Grade 6 | 126 | 116 |  | 0 | 114 |  | 0 | 114 |  | 0.0 | 98.3 |  |
| Grade 7 | 129 | 125 |  | 0 | 123 |  | 0 | 123 |  | 0.0 | 98.4 |  |
| Grade 8 | 114 | 119 |  | 0 | 116 |  | 0 | 116 |  | 0.0 | 97.5 |  |
| All Grades | 739 | 770 |  | 0 | 758 |  | 0 | 758 |  | 0.0 | 98.4 |  |

The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard Exceeded |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly Met |  |  | \% Standard Not Met |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 |  | 2388.9 |  |  | 14.18 |  |  | 17.02 |  |  | 24.82 |  |  | 43.97 |  |
| Grade 4 |  | 2435.0 |  |  | 14.91 |  |  | 22.81 |  |  | 21.93 |  |  | 40.35 |  |
| Grade 5 |  | 2486.3 |  |  | 18.67 |  |  | 27.33 |  |  | 23.33 |  |  | 30.67 |  |
| Grade 6 |  | 2484.1 |  |  | 3.51 |  |  | 26.32 |  |  | 33.33 |  |  | 36.84 |  |
| Grade 7 |  | 2497.8 |  |  | 8.94 |  |  | 19.51 |  |  | 29.27 |  |  | 42.28 |  |
| Grade 8 |  | 2535.0 |  |  | 7.76 |  |  | 30.17 |  |  | 29.31 |  |  | 32.76 |  |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A |  | 11.74 |  |  | 23.75 |  |  | 26.78 |  |  | 37.73 |  |


| Reading <br> Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 |  | 14.18 |  |  | 58.16 |  |  | 27.66 |  |
| Grade 4 |  | 9.65 |  |  | 66.67 |  |  | 23.68 |  |
| Grade 5 |  | 17.33 |  |  | 61.33 |  |  | 21.33 |  |
| Grade 6 |  | 7.89 |  |  | 53.51 |  |  | 38.60 |  |
| Grade 7 |  | 10.57 |  |  | 51.22 |  |  | 38.21 |  |
| Grade 8 |  | 12.07 |  |  | 59.48 |  |  | 28.45 |  |
| All Grades |  | 12.27 |  |  | 58.44 |  |  | 29.29 |  |


| Writing <br> Producing clear and purposeful writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 |  | 7.80 |  |  | 48.23 |  |  | 43.97 |  |
| Grade 4 |  | 9.65 |  |  | 57.89 |  |  | 32.46 |  |
| Grade 5 |  | 19.33 |  |  | 48.67 |  |  | 32.00 |  |
| Grade 6 |  | 7.02 |  |  | 57.89 |  |  | 35.09 |  |
| Grade 7 |  | 13.01 |  |  | 40.65 |  |  | 46.34 |  |
| Grade 8 |  | 9.48 |  |  | 57.76 |  |  | 32.76 |  |
| All Grades |  | 11.35 |  |  | 51.45 |  |  | 37.20 |  |


| Listening <br> Demonstrating effective communication skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 |  | 7.09 |  |  | 74.47 |  |  | 18.44 |  |
| Grade 4 |  | 11.40 |  |  | 71.93 |  |  | 16.67 |  |
| Grade 5 |  | 7.33 |  |  | 73.33 |  |  | 19.33 |  |
| Grade 6 |  | 5.26 |  |  | 78.95 |  |  | 15.79 |  |
| Grade 7 |  | 8.13 |  |  | 74.80 |  |  | 17.07 |  |
| Grade 8 |  | 6.90 |  |  | 76.72 |  |  | 16.38 |  |
| All Grades |  | 7.65 |  |  | 74.93 |  |  | 17.41 |  |


| Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 |  | 12.06 |  |  | 60.99 |  |  | 26.95 |  |
| Grade 4 |  | 9.65 |  |  | 67.54 |  |  | 22.81 |  |
| Grade 5 |  | 17.33 |  |  | 60.00 |  |  | 22.67 |  |
| Grade 6 |  | 8.77 |  |  | 68.42 |  |  | 22.81 |  |
| Grade 7 |  | 11.38 |  |  | 61.79 |  |  | 26.83 |  |
| Grade 8 |  | 12.07 |  |  | 65.52 |  |  | 22.41 |  |
| All Grades |  | 12.14 |  |  | 63.72 |  |  | 24.14 |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. $30 \%$ or more of our students at each grade level (3rd - 8th) are scoring in the 'standard not met' range overall in the area of English Language Arts. This is an area of need.
2. $30 \%$ or more of our students at each grade level (3rd - 8th) are scoring in the 'below standard' range in the area of Writing (producing clear and purposeful writing). This is an area of need.
3. $70 \%$ or more of our students at each grade level (3rd - 8th) scored in the 'at, near or above standard' range in the area of Listening (demonstrating effective communication skills). This is a an area of strength.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> Mathematics (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with Scores |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 | 119 | 143 |  | 0 | 142 |  | 0 | 142 |  | 0.0 | 99.3 |  |
| Grade 4 | 139 | 116 |  | 0 | 115 |  | 0 | 115 |  | 0.0 | 99.1 |  |
| Grade 5 | 112 | 151 |  | 0 | 150 |  | 0 | 150 |  | 0.0 | 99.3 |  |
| Grade 6 | 126 | 116 |  | 0 | 113 |  | 0 | 113 |  | 0.0 | 97.4 |  |
| Grade 7 | 129 | 125 |  | 0 | 124 |  | 0 | 124 |  | 0.0 | 99.2 |  |
| Grade 8 | 114 | 119 |  | 0 | 116 |  | 0 | 116 |  | 0.0 | 97.5 |  |
| All Grades | 739 | 770 |  | 0 | 760 |  | 0 | 760 |  | 0.0 | 98.7 |  |

* The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard Exceeded |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly Met |  |  | \% Standard Not Met |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 |  | 2398.8 |  |  | 7.75 |  |  | 27.46 |  |  | 26.06 |  |  | 38.73 |  |
| Grade 4 |  | 2435.7 |  |  | 11.30 |  |  | 20.87 |  |  | 32.17 |  |  | 35.65 |  |
| Grade 5 |  | 2459.4 |  |  | 9.33 |  |  | 13.33 |  |  | 31.33 |  |  | 46.00 |  |
| Grade 6 |  | 2482.1 |  |  | 11.50 |  |  | 9.73 |  |  | 33.63 |  |  | 45.13 |  |
| Grade 7 |  | 2469.1 |  |  | 7.26 |  |  | 12.10 |  |  | 20.16 |  |  | 60.48 |  |
| Grade 8 |  | 2488.4 |  |  | 9.48 |  |  | 11.21 |  |  | 18.10 |  |  | 61.21 |  |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A |  | 9.34 |  |  | 16.05 |  |  | 26.97 |  |  | 47.63 |  |


| Concepts \& Procedures <br> Applying mathematical concepts and procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 |  | 12.68 |  |  | 52.82 |  |  | 34.51 |  |
| Grade 4 |  | 13.91 |  |  | 44.35 |  |  | 41.74 |  |
| Grade 5 |  | 6.67 |  |  | 45.33 |  |  | 48.00 |  |
| Grade 6 |  | 8.85 |  |  | 44.25 |  |  | 46.90 |  |
| Grade 7 |  | 7.26 |  |  | 33.06 |  |  | 59.68 |  |
| Grade 8 |  | 7.76 |  |  | 38.79 |  |  | 53.45 |  |
| All Grades |  | 9.47 |  |  | 43.42 |  |  | 47.11 |  |


| Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 |  | 14.79 |  |  | 49.30 |  |  | 35.92 |  |
| Grade 4 |  | 13.04 |  |  | 51.30 |  |  | 35.65 |  |
| Grade 5 |  | 8.00 |  |  | 52.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |
| Grade 6 |  | 6.19 |  |  | 46.02 |  |  | 47.79 |  |
| Grade 7 |  | 7.26 |  |  | 45.97 |  |  | 46.77 |  |
| Grade 8 |  | 8.62 |  |  | 50.00 |  |  | 41.38 |  |
| All Grades |  | 9.74 |  |  | 49.21 |  |  | 41.05 |  |


| Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 |  | 7.75 |  |  | 62.68 |  |  | 29.58 |  |
| Grade 4 |  | 13.91 |  |  | 51.30 |  |  | 34.78 |  |
| Grade 5 |  | 9.33 |  |  | 55.33 |  |  | 35.33 |  |
| Grade 6 |  | 11.50 |  |  | 58.41 |  |  | 30.09 |  |
| Grade 7 |  | 8.87 |  |  | 58.87 |  |  | 32.26 |  |
| Grade 8 |  | 9.48 |  |  | 52.59 |  |  | 37.93 |  |
| All Grades |  | 10.00 |  |  | 56.71 |  |  | 33.29 |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Students in grades 3,4 , and 5 have higher numbers of students who scored in the 'met or exceeded' range in math, overall, than students in grades 6,7 , and 8.
2. Students scored highest in the area of Communicating Reasoning (demonstrating the ability to support mathematical conclusions), $66.71 \%$ of students scoring in the 'at, near, or above' standard range.
3. Students scored significantly lower overall in the area of math in comparison to ELA : 47.11\% of students scoring in the 'below standard' range in the area of math and $37.20 \%$ for ELA.

## School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

| ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Overall |  |  | Oral Language |  |  | Written Language |  |  | Number of Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| K | 1419.4 | 1421.7 |  | 1439.7 | 1437.5 |  | 1371.8 | 1384.1 |  | 26 | 40 |  |
| 1 | 1426.2 | 1431.4 |  | 1449.2 | 1470.3 |  | 1402.9 | 1392.1 |  | 29 | 19 |  |
| 2 | 1461.0 | 1449.3 |  | 1460.8 | 1463.0 |  | 1460.7 | 1435.0 |  | 24 | 26 |  |
| 3 | 1484.1 | 1476.0 |  | 1482.2 | 1484.4 |  | 1485.6 | 1467.0 |  | 29 | 23 |  |
| 4 | 1480.7 | 1499.9 |  | 1473.2 | 1509.9 |  | 1487.6 | 1489.5 |  | 26 | 27 |  |
| 5 | 1512.7 | 1503.4 |  | 1512.3 | 1513.5 |  | 1512.3 | 1492.8 |  | 12 | 28 |  |
| 6 | 1515.5 | 1521.1 |  | 1519.7 | 1524.8 |  | 1510.8 | 1516.8 |  | 26 | 12 |  |
| 7 | 1530.3 | 1539.9 |  | 1525.3 | 1542.8 |  | 1534.8 | 1536.5 |  | 23 | 25 |  |
| 8 | 1539.6 | 1586.4 |  | 1524.5 | 1609.4 |  | 1554.2 | 1563.1 |  | 16 | 19 |  |
| All Grades |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 211 | 219 |  |

## Overall Language

Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students

| Grade Level | Level 4 |  |  | Level 3 |  |  | Level 2 |  |  | Level 1 |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| K | 15.38 | 5.00 |  | 26.92 | 42.50 |  | 50.00 | 40.00 |  | 7.69 | 12.50 |  | 26 | 40 |  |
| 1 | 3.45 | 0.00 |  | 24.14 | 15.79 |  | 44.83 | 52.63 |  | 27.59 | 31.58 |  | 29 | 19 |  |
| 2 | 8.33 | 7.69 |  | 50.00 | 38.46 |  | 25.00 | 23.08 |  | 16.67 | 30.77 |  | 24 | 26 |  |
| 3 | 10.34 | 0.00 |  | 31.03 | 43.48 |  | 41.38 | 39.13 |  | 17.24 | 17.39 |  | 29 | 23 |  |
| 4 | 0.00 | 18.52 |  | 30.77 | 25.93 |  | 42.31 | 29.63 |  | 26.92 | 25.93 |  | 26 | 27 |  |
| 5 | 16.67 | 7.14 |  | 16.67 | 28.57 |  | 50.00 | 46.43 |  | 16.67 | 17.86 |  | 12 | 28 |  |
| 6 | 11.54 | 8.33 |  | 34.62 | 50.00 |  | 46.15 | 33.33 |  | 7.69 | 8.33 |  | 26 | 12 |  |
| 7 | 8.70 | 24.00 |  | 65.22 | 44.00 |  | 13.04 | 20.00 |  | 13.04 | 12.00 |  | 23 | 25 |  |
| 8 | 12.50 | 42.11 |  | 31.25 | 36.84 |  | 50.00 | 15.79 |  | 6.25 | 5.26 |  | 16 | 19 |  |
| All Grades | 9.00 | 11.87 |  | 35.07 | 36.07 |  | 39.81 | 33.79 |  | 16.11 | 18.26 |  | 211 | 219 |  |

Oral Language
Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students

| Grade Level | Level 4 |  |  | Level 3 |  |  | Level 2 |  |  | Level 1 |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| K | 15.38 | 22.50 |  | 42.31 | 35.00 |  | 30.77 | 30.00 |  | 11.54 | 12.50 |  | 26 | 40 |  |
| 1 | 27.59 | 31.58 |  | 31.03 | 31.58 |  | 27.59 | 31.58 |  | 13.79 | 5.26 |  | 29 | 19 |  |
| 2 | 12.50 | 11.54 |  | 54.17 | 53.85 |  | 29.17 | 23.08 |  | 4.17 | 11.54 |  | 24 | 26 |  |
| 3 | 20.69 | 26.09 |  | 44.83 | 43.48 |  | 24.14 | 17.39 |  | 10.34 | 13.04 |  | 29 | 23 |  |
| 4 | 19.23 | 37.04 |  | 34.62 | 37.04 |  | 30.77 | 18.52 |  | 15.38 | 7.41 |  | 26 | 27 |  |
| 5 | 33.33 | 25.00 |  | 50.00 | 57.14 |  | 8.33 | 3.57 |  | 8.33 | 14.29 |  | 12 | 28 |  |
| 6 | 26.92 | 41.67 |  | 57.69 | 33.33 |  | 7.69 | 25.00 |  | 7.69 | 0.00 |  | 26 | 12 |  |
| 7 | 17.39 | 40.00 |  | 65.22 | 48.00 |  | 13.04 | 8.00 |  | 4.35 | 4.00 |  | 23 | 25 |  |
| 8 | 18.75 | 63.16 |  | 18.75 | 31.58 |  | 56.25 | 0.00 |  | 6.25 | 5.26 |  | 16 | 19 |  |
| All Grades | 20.85 | 31.05 |  | 44.55 | 42.01 |  | 25.12 | 17.81 |  | 9.48 | 9.13 |  | 211 | 219 |  |


| Written Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Level 4 |  |  | Level 3 |  |  | Level 2 |  |  | Level 1 |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| K | 11.54 | 2.50 |  | 15.38 | 27.50 |  | 42.31 | 45.00 |  | 30.77 | 25.00 |  | 26 | 40 |  |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 13.79 | 10.53 |  | 27.59 | 15.79 |  | 58.62 | 73.68 |  | 29 | 19 |  |
| 2 | 12.50 | 7.69 |  | 33.33 | 26.92 |  | 25.00 | 26.92 |  | 29.17 | 38.46 |  | 24 | 26 |  |
| 3 | 6.90 | 0.00 |  | 24.14 | 0.00 |  | 37.93 | 65.22 |  | 31.03 | 34.78 |  | 29 | 23 |  |
| 4 | 3.85 | 0.00 |  | 19.23 | 22.22 |  | 23.08 | 25.93 |  | 53.85 | 51.85 |  | 26 | 27 |  |
| 5 | 8.33 | 0.00 |  | 8.33 | 7.14 |  | 50.00 | 50.00 |  | 33.33 | 42.86 |  | 12 | 28 |  |
| 6 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 19.23 | 16.67 |  | 42.31 | 58.33 |  | 38.46 | 25.00 |  | 26 | 12 |  |
| 7 | 8.70 | 20.00 |  | 30.43 | 12.00 |  | 43.48 | 44.00 |  | 17.39 | 24.00 |  | 23 | 25 |  |
| 8 | 6.25 | 10.53 |  | 43.75 | 57.89 |  | 37.50 | 15.79 |  | 12.50 | 15.79 |  | 16 | 19 |  |
| All Grades | 6.16 | 4.57 |  | 22.75 | 20.09 |  | 35.55 | 38.81 |  | 35.55 | 36.53 |  | 211 | 219 |  |


| Listening Domain <br> Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| K | 15.38 | 30.00 |  | 76.92 | 62.50 |  | 7.69 | 7.50 |  | 26 | 40 |  |
| 1 | 27.59 | 31.58 |  | 62.07 | 63.16 |  | 10.34 | 5.26 |  | 29 | 19 |  |
| 2 | 12.50 | 15.38 |  | 75.00 | 73.08 |  | 12.50 | 11.54 |  | 24 | 26 |  |
| 3 | 20.69 | 21.74 |  | 72.41 | 60.87 |  | 6.90 | 17.39 |  | 29 | 23 |  |
| 4 | 23.08 | 37.04 |  | 61.54 | 48.15 |  | 15.38 | 14.81 |  | 26 | 27 |  |
| 5 | 8.33 | 17.86 |  | 83.33 | 67.86 |  | 8.33 | 14.29 |  | 12 | 28 |  |
| 6 | 3.85 | 16.67 |  | 80.77 | 58.33 |  | 15.38 | 25.00 |  | 26 | 12 |  |
| 7 | 13.04 | 8.00 |  | 73.91 | 80.00 |  | 13.04 | 12.00 |  | 23 | 25 |  |
| 8 | 12.50 | 21.05 |  | 81.25 | 73.68 |  | 6.25 | 5.26 |  | 16 | 19 |  |
| All Grades | 16.11 | 22.83 |  | 72.99 | 65.30 |  | 10.90 | 11.87 |  | 211 | 219 |  |

Speaking Domain
Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students

| Grade Level | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| K | 19.23 | 10.00 |  | 57.69 | 75.00 |  | 23.08 | 15.00 |  | 26 | 40 |  |
| 1 | 13.79 | 26.32 |  | 68.97 | 57.89 |  | 17.24 | 15.79 |  | 29 | 19 |  |
| 2 | 16.67 | 26.92 |  | 79.17 | 61.54 |  | 4.17 | 11.54 |  | 24 | 26 |  |
| 3 | 34.48 | 60.87 |  | 48.28 | 26.09 |  | 17.24 | 13.04 |  | 29 | 23 |  |
| 4 | 15.38 | 48.15 |  | 65.38 | 40.74 |  | 19.23 | 11.11 |  | 26 | 27 |  |
| 5 | 58.33 | 75.00 |  | 33.33 | 7.14 |  | 8.33 | 17.86 |  | 12 | 28 |  |
| 6 | 57.69 | 75.00 |  | 34.62 | 25.00 |  | 7.69 | 0.00 |  | 26 | 12 |  |
| 7 | 47.83 | 80.00 |  | 47.83 | 12.00 |  | 4.35 | 8.00 |  | 23 | 25 |  |
| 8 | 20.00 | 84.21 |  | 73.33 | 10.53 |  | 6.67 | 5.26 |  | 15 | 19 |  |
| All Grades | 30.00 | 49.77 |  | 57.14 | 38.36 |  | 12.86 | 11.87 |  | 210 | 219 |  |


| $\begin{gathered}\text { Reading Domain } \\ \text { Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| K | 3.85 | 12.50 |  | 65.38 | 67.50 |  | 30.77 | 20.00 |  | 26 | 40 |  |
| 1 | 6.90 | 5.26 |  | 34.48 | 10.53 |  | 58.62 | 84.21 |  | 29 | 19 |  |
| 2 | 20.83 | 11.54 |  | 58.33 | 50.00 |  | 20.83 | 38.46 |  | 24 | 26 |  |
| 3 | 3.45 | 0.00 |  | 65.52 | 26.09 |  | 31.03 | 73.91 |  | 29 | 23 |  |
| 4 | 3.85 | 0.00 |  | 46.15 | 44.44 |  | 50.00 | 55.56 |  | 26 | 27 |  |
| 5 | 8.33 | 3.57 |  | 50.00 | 39.29 |  | 41.67 | 57.14 |  | 12 | 28 |  |
| 6 | 3.85 | 0.00 |  | 23.08 | 41.67 |  | 73.08 | 58.33 |  | 26 | 12 |  |
| 7 | 8.70 | 24.00 |  | 43.48 | 36.00 |  | 47.83 | 40.00 |  | 23 | 25 |  |
| 8 | 31.25 | 47.37 |  | 37.50 | 26.32 |  | 31.25 | 26.32 |  | 16 | 19 |  |
| All Grades | 9.00 | 11.42 |  | 47.39 | 41.10 |  | 43.60 | 47.49 |  | 211 | 219 |  |


| Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| K | 34.62 | 15.00 |  | 30.77 | 55.00 |  | 34.62 | 30.00 |  | 26 | 40 |  |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 37.93 | 63.16 |  | 62.07 | 36.84 |  | 29 | 19 |  |
| 2 | 12.50 | 7.69 |  | 54.17 | 57.69 |  | 33.33 | 34.62 |  | 24 | 26 |  |
| 3 | 17.24 | 8.70 |  | 48.28 | 78.26 |  | 34.48 | 13.04 |  | 29 | 23 |  |
| 4 | 3.85 | 7.41 |  | 57.69 | 59.26 |  | 38.46 | 33.33 |  | 26 | 27 |  |
| 5 | 8.33 | 0.00 |  | 75.00 | 75.00 |  | 16.67 | 25.00 |  | 12 | 28 |  |
| 6 | 0.00 | 8.33 |  | 88.46 | 83.33 |  | 11.54 | 8.33 |  | 26 | 12 |  |
| 7 | 8.70 | 0.00 |  | 78.26 | 80.00 |  | 13.04 | 20.00 |  | 23 | 25 |  |
| 8 | 6.67 | 0.00 |  | 73.33 | 94.74 |  | 20.00 | 5.26 |  | 15 | 19 |  |
| All Grades | 10.48 | 5.94 |  | 58.10 | 69.41 |  | 31.43 | 24.66 |  | 210 | 219 |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The majority of students scored in the Level 1 and Level 2 range in the area of Written Language on the ELPAC. This is an area of need.
2. Almost half $(49.77 \%)$ of students scored in the 'well developed' range in the Speaking Domain on the ELPAC. This is an area of strength.
3. Only $11.42 \%$ of students scored in the 'well developed' range in the Reading Domain of the ELPAC. This is an are of need.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Population

For the past two years, many state and federal accountability requirements were waived or adjusted due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on LEAs, schools, and students. Beginning with the 2021-22 school year, the requirements to hold schools and districts accountable for student outcomes has returned with the release of the 2022 California School Dashboard (Dashboard). The Every Student Succeeds Act is requiring all states to determine schools eligible for support. Similarly, under state law, Assembly Bill (AB) 130, which was signed into law in 2021, mandates the return of the Dashboard using only current year performance data to determine LEAs for support. Therefore, to meet this state requirement, only the 2021-22 school year data will be reported on the 2022 Dashboard for state indicators. (Data for Change [or the difference from prior year] and performance colors will not be reported.)

This section provides information about the school's student population.

| 2021-22 Student Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | English Learners | Foster Youth |
| 1,219 | 56.4 | 18.9 | 0.7 |
| Total Number of Students enrolled in Del Sol Academy. | Students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma. | Students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses. | Students whose well being is the responsibility of a court. |
| 2021-22 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group |  |  |  |
| Student Group |  | Total | Percentage |
| English Learners |  | 230 | 18.9 |
| Foster Youth |  | 8 | 0.7 |
| Homeless |  | 13 | 1.1 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |  | 687 | 56.4 |
| Students with Disabilities |  | 113 | 9.3 |


| Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student Group | Total |
| African American | 87 | Percentage |
| American Indian | 1 | 7.1 |
| Asian | 97 | 0.1 |


|  | Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student Group | Total |  |
| Filipino | 45 | Percentage |  |
| Hispanic | 784 | 3.7 |  |
| Two or More Races | 38 | 64.3 |  |
| Pacific Islander | 4 | 3.1 |  |
| White | 141 | 0.3 |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. More than half of our student population (56.4\%) falls into the socio-economically disadvantaged group.
2. Our highest ethnicity groups include Hispanic, White, Asian, and African American, respectively.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Overall Performance

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).


## 2022 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students



Academic Engagement
Chronic Absenteeism


Conditions \& Climate
Suspension Rate


Medium

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our suspension rate is in the medium range. We ae working to get this in the low range.
2. Chronic absenteeism rates are in the very high range. Although this is true across the district, we are working on measures to improve this.
3. Students are scoring in the low range in the area of English Language Arts and Math.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance English Language Arts

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).


Very Low Lowest Performance
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This section provides number of student groups in each level.
2022 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report

| Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2022 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group

| All Students | English Learners | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> Low <br> 36.8 points below standard 721 Students |  <br> Very Low <br> 83.6 points below standard 195 Students | No Performance Level 6 Students |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities |
| No Performance Level 6 Students |  |  |

## 2022 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance by Race/Ethnicity

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 37.7 points below standard |  | 7.1 points above standard | 58.9 points above standard |
| 51 Students |  | 47 Students | 32 Students |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
| Low | No Performance Level | No Performance Level |  |
| 54.2 points below standard | 25.5 points above standard | 2 Students | 7.5 points below standard |
| 486 Students | 19 Students |  | 73 Students |

This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in English Language Arts.

## 2022 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 122.1 points below standard | 17.9 points below standard | 22.6 points below standard |
| 123 Students | 72 Students | 469 Students |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. English Learners and Students with Disabilities scored in the very low range in comparison to the low range overall in the area of English Language Arts.
2. Asian and Filipino students scored in the medium and very high range, respectively, in comparison to the low range overall in the area of English Language Arts.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> Mathematics

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).


Very Low Lowest Performance





Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

| 2022 Fall Dashboard Mathamtics Equity Report |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very High |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades $3-8$ and grade 11.

## 2022 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group

| All Students | English Learners | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No Performance Level 6 Students |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities |
| No Performance Level 6 Students |  |  |

## 2022 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance by Race/Ethnicity

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  <br> 1.6 points above standard 47 Students |  <br> 16.2 points above standard 32 Students |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
|  | No Performance Level 32.5 points below standard 19 Students | No Performance Level 2 Students | $\square$ $\square$ Low <br> 39.1 points below standard 73 Students |

This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in mathematics

## 2022 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 129.3 points below standard | 56.9 points below standard | 57.7 points below standard |
| 122 Students | 72 Students | 470 Students |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. English Learners, Students with Disabilities, and African American subgroups scored in the very low range in comparison to the low range overall in the area of Math.
2. Asian and Filipino subgroups scored in the high range in comparison to the low range overall in the area of Math.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance English Learner Progress

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

This section provides information on the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2022 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator


This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2022 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

| Decreased <br> One ELPI Level |
| :---: |
| $14.3 \%$ |


| Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, <br> 3L, or 3H |
| :---: |
| $33.3 \%$ |


| Maintained <br> ELPI Level 4 |
| :---: |
| $0.0 \%$ |


| Progressed At Least <br> One ELPI Level |
| :---: |
| $52.4 \%$ |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. More than half of our English Learners (52.4\%) progressed at least one ELPI level.
2. $47.6 \%$ of English Learners maintained or decreased ELPI levels, and did not make progress.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).


Very High Lowest Performance


Medium



Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.
2022 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report

| Very High | High | Medium | Low | Very Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

## 2022 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group

| All Students | English Learners | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Very High <br> 41.9\% Chronically Absent 246 Students | No Performance Level 17.6\% Chronically Absent 17 Students |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities |
| No Performance Level 72.2\% Chronically Absent 18 Students | Very High <br> 43.1\% Chronically Absent 754 Students | Very High <br> 46.4\% Chronically Absent 138 Students |

## 2022 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity



## Conclusions based on this data:

1. All subgroups scored in the very high range for chronic absenteeism.
2. Socioeconomically disadvantaged and Hispanic subgroups make up the majority of subgroup populations, both reaching above $40 \%$ of students who are chronically absent.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Conditions \& Climate <br> Suspension Rate

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).


Very High Lowest Performance


Medium



Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.
2022 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report

| Very High | High | Medium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

## 2022 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group

| All Students | English Learners | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No Performance Level |
| 2.3\% suspended at least one day | 0\% suspended at least one day | $0 \%$ suspended at least one day |
| 1324 Students | 248 Students | 21 Students |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities |
| No Performance Level |  |  |
| 8.7\% suspended at least one day | 2.8\% suspended at least one day | $5 \%$ suspended at least one day |
| 23 Students | 772 Students | 139 Students |

2022 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity

| African American |
| :---: |
|  |
| Very High |
| 11.6\% suspended at least one day |
| 95 Students |



$0 \%$ suspended at least one day 45 Students


## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Students with disabilities, African American, and students with two or more races scored in the high or very high range for suspensions in comparison to the medium range overall.
2. Asian and Filipino students scored in the very low range for suspensions in comparison to the medium range overall.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

## Goal 1.0

College and Career Readiness

## State and/or Local Priorities addressed by this goal:

State Priorities: Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)

Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)
Local Priorities:

## Identified Need from the Annual Evaluation and Needs Assessment:

Additional and continued support for SEL training and resources will be needed for students and staff. The baseline NWEA assessments for ELA and math will allow teachers to better prepare for their students and address their academic needs. In addition to the two Reading Support Teachers and one elementary Math Support Teacher, DSA added an additional support teacher to work specifically with 6 th -8 th grade students. Support teachers will provide support in a small group setting to build a strong foundation in number sense and problem-solving and to ensure that foundational skills and researched based reading strategies are utilized for struggling readers to include accuracy and fluency in reading and math. Release time will be provided to staff to administer or analyze assessments and other benchmarks; our Tech Coordinator and support teacher team will provide support.

Site coordinators including Safe Schools, BSEL/PBIS, SST, and AVID, as well as our Leadership Team will be given release days to plan, monitor, and refine schoolwide practices and procedures. We also plan to expand our capacity to schedule additional SST meetings to address student academic and behavioral needs. Funding for BSEL/PBIS, AVID, ASB and other student-centered teams will be increased to support a positive school culture and environment celebrating success and addressing areas of need or concern. AVID strategies will continue to remain a focus. Untrained staff will attend AVID Path and/or Summer Institute trainings. Current AVID goals may be refined or altered to reflect site needs. The DSA site leadership team composed of representatives from all grade levels will collaborate with site administration to determine site priorities. Inclusive practices will also be refined and deepened to support students with special needs. These practices include, co-teaching, planning, work modification, small group support, collaboration, and scaffolding to provide access to grade level curriculum.

A careful review of data indicated that an emphasis is needed on math, writing and best practices for English Learners. Professional development, planning and monitoring will be utilized to address these needs. Committees, staff meetings and planning time will be have time dedicated to address these stie goals.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator

[^0]
## Baseline/Actual Outcome

CAASPP ELA Academic Performance, 2021 2022
$35.49 \%$ of students met or exceeded the standard for ELA

| P4 Statewide Assessments - California School |
| :--- |
| Dashboard (CASDB) Academic Indicator - Math |
| Distance from Standard (DFS) |

## Baseline/Actual Outcome

School Overall: Low range: 36.8 points below standard; No comparable data
SED: Low range: 55.9 points below standard; No comparable data
EL: Very low range: 83.6 points below standard; No comparable data
SWD: Very low range: 102.9 points below standard; No comparable data
Hispanic: Low range: 54.2 points below standard: No comparable data
African American: Low range: 37.7 points below standard: No comparable data
Asian: Medium range: 7.1 points above standard: No comparable data
Filipino: Very high range: 58.5 points above standard: No comparable data
White: Low range: 7.5 points below standard: No comparable data

CAASPP Math Academic Progress, 2021-2022
25.39\% of students met or exceeded the standard for Math
School: 68.1 points below standard; No comparable data
SED: 88.6 points below standard; No comparable data
EL: 102.4 points below standard; No comparable data
SWD: 139.6 points below standard; No comparable data
Hispanic: 83.1 points below standard; No comparable data
African American: 98.1 points below standard: No comparable data
Asian: 1.6 points above standard: No comparable data
Filipino: 16.2 points above standard: No comparable data
White: 39.1 points below standard: No comparable data

P4 Statewide Assessment - Summative ELPAC California Department of Education (CDE) - level of proficiency

P4: English Learner Reclassification Rate as reported on 2020-21 Data Quest

P8: Other Student Outcomes - NWEA ELA

## Baseline/Actual Outcome

Summative ELPAC Data, 2021-2022
11.87\% Level 4 (Well Developed)
36.07\% Level 3 (Moderately Developed)
33.79\% Level 2 (Somewhat Developed)
18.26\% Level 1 (Minimally Developed)

There are 219 English Learners.
More than 50\% of DSA's English Learners have either somewhat or moderately developed English Skills as measured on the 2022 ELPAC state assessment.

## 2021-2022 Dataquest

Fluent-English-Proficient Students: 23\% (55
students)
Re-designated FEP Students: 5.8\% (14 students)

Spring 2021-22 NWEA Language Arts- Reading Data:
1st 19\% of students scored above the 61st percentile
2nd 15\% of students scored above the 61st percentile
3 rd 13\% of students scored above the 61st percentile
4th $23 \%$ of students scored above the 61st percentile
5th $17 \%$ of students scored above the 61st percentile
6th $32 \%$ of students scored above the 61st percentile
The majority of students scored below the 41st percentile, except 6th grade.

## Spring 2021-22 NWEA Math Data:

1 st $18 \%$ of students scored above the 61st percentile
2 nd $21 \%$ of students scored above the 61st percentile
3 rd $17 \%$ of students scored above the 61st percentile

## Expected Outcome

Increase percentage of Level 4 - Well Developed by $3 \%$

Increase percentage of re-designated FEP students by $3 \%$.

Increase percentage of students scoring above the 61 st percentile by $5 \%$.

Increase percentage of students scoring above the 61 st percentile by $5 \%$.

Metric/Indicator
(2)

P8: Other Student Outcomes - HMH Reading Inventory for ELA

## Baseline/Actual Outcome

## Expected Outcome

4th $20 \%$ of students scored above the 61st percentile
5th 12\% of students scored above the 61st percentile
6th $22 \%$ of students scored above the 61st percentile
The majority of students scored below the 41st percentile.

The Reading Inventory Proficiency Results are as follows:
Advanced: 17\%
Proficient: 14\%
Basic: 28\%
Below Basic: 41\%
214 students took the assessment
Source: HMH Reading Inventory Assessment,
2022
$\square$
Increase percentage for proficient and advanced by $5 \%$.

## Action 1.1

AVID Plan

## $\underline{X} \quad$ Modified Action

## Planned Actions/Services

## Students to be served

## Budget and Source

AVID coordinator(s) will work with the administrator for the following: 1) Colead revisions to the site AVID plan, 2) Provide training to staff, 3) Assist with collecting AVID evidence and preparing for the site review and certification, 4) Assist with order and distribution AVID materials such as AVID binders, dividers and planners, 5) Share or present AVID plan at SSC, ELAC, and other parent meetings, and 6) Plan, prepare and conduct AVID events like College Kick-off Day.
x All Students

| AVID Strategies | LCFF AVID - 0765 |
| :--- | :--- |
| A) Site funds will be used to purchase AVID materials and supplies. | $\$ 6,000$ |
|  | Parent information Nights- EL Outreach |
| B) The AVID team will support with the implementation plan, including sharing | $1000-1999$ : Certificated Personnel Salaries |
| and modeling AVID strategies with staff throughout the year. Release time will | Title III LEP -- 4203 |
| be provided as needed for AVID team members. | $\$ 400$ |
|  | Sub Release Days for planning |
| C) Funding will be used to support a College \& Career Day, AVID Parent | $1000-1999$ Certificated Personnel Salaries |
| meetings, professional development for staff, release days for AVID planning, | LCFF AVID - 0765 |
| and other college awareness activities (A - G requirements and 6 year plans | $\$ 3,000$ |
| for grades 7 \& 8). | Child care for parent outreach nights (EL) |
|  | 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries |
|  | Title III LEP -- 4203 |
|  | $\$ 300$ |
|  | Refreshments for parent outreach nights (EL) |
|  | $4000-4999:$ Books And Supplies |
|  | Title III LEP -- 4203 |

## Action 1.2

CCSS Implementation: Common Core \& State Standards
$\square \underline{X} \quad$ Modified Action $\quad \square$.

## Planned Actions/Services

Del Sol Academy will design lesson plans and assessments aligned to Common Core Standards, California State Standards (CSS) and Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS).
A) Funds will cover sub costs for staff to attend district and site trainings/workshops to support standards based curriculum implementation and site /district initiatives.
B) Teachers will participate in ongoing grade level meetings on minimum days for standards based planning. Site funded half and full day release days for grade level and/or department planning will be provided. Teachers will have opportunities to analyze and plan lessons, make instructional decisions based on formative assessment data results, and plan for STEAM integration.

## Students to be served

X All Students

## Budget and Source

Teacher release time for extended collaboration
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$15,000
Instructional materials \& supplies
4000-4999: Books And Supplies
Title I Basic -- 3010
\$9,888
Instructional materials and supplies
4000-4999: Books And Supplies
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$10,000
C) Purchase digital tools, manipulatives, and supplemental materials and supplies to support standard based instruction.

## Action 1.3

ELD
X Modified Action

## Planned Actions/Services

Del Sol teachers will provide 30 minutes of designated ELD instruction daily. Integrated support (i.e. SDAIE strategies) will be provided across content areas.
A) Staff will administer the ELPAC to English Learners annually. Results will be used to assign appropriate supports and instruction. Teachers will monitor and evaluate EL levels. Subs may be needed to help with ELPAC administration.
B) Bilingual Language Tutors (BLTs) support English Learners by providing lesson reinforcement and reading support in both English and Spanish.
C) Professional development to support ELD program will be provided for staff. District Language Services staff will provide ongoing feedback and guidance to the administrative team, teachers, and bilingual language tutors (BLTs) regarding data analysis and effective instructional strategies for ELD, LTELS, and Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) students. All 4th 8th grade teachers will attend QTEL training to learn strategies to support and engage our English Learners and a means to reduce the number of LTELs (Long Term English Learners). Subs will be provided for teachers to attend training and for release time as needed for planning after PD sessions.
D) During SSC, ELAC, and parent conferences, teachers will review ELPAC scores, academic growth, and progress towards reaching the redesignation criteria. Classified staff members will be provided overtime hours as needed to support with translation for parents and families.
E) Purchase materials and supplies to support ELD instruction

## Students to be served

Other student
X group(s) English Learners

## Budget and Source

Materials \& Supplies to Support ELD
instruction
4000-4999: Books And Supplies
Title III LEP -- 4203
\$1095
Substitutes to support ELPAC administration 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707

## \$3,771

Subs for teachers to attend trainings and for planning
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries
Title III LEP -- 4203
\$3,000
Classified staff extra paid hourly (translation) 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries
Title I Parent Involvement -- 30101902
\$197
(3) Bilingual Language Tutors Salaries (3 hrs.) 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries Title I Basic -- 3010

## \$48449

(4) Bilingual Language Tutors Salaries (3 hrs.) 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$28799

## Action 1.4

Reading and Writing Support

$\square \underline{\mathrm{X}} \quad$| Modified Action |
| :--- |
| $\square$ |

## Planned Actions/Services

Del Sol will have focus on reading and writing across the content areas and across the TK-8 grade span, where all teachers integrate reading and writing into learning activities. Special consideration will be given to supporting students with below grade level reading levels and student groups identified as scoring in the very low range on CAASPP (English Learners and Students with Disabilities).
A) Del Sol will utilize researched based reading and writing strategies across all content areas. Teachers will work to establish a vertical alignment for Step Up to Writing.
B) Teachers, admin and support staff will regularly review student reading assessment scores, including NWEA data, to identify students who need intensive intervention support.
C) Provide hourly pay for teachers to plan for and facilitate trainings for parents.
D) Purchase supplemental resources, technology equipment, computer programs, and reading/writing supplies to support reading and writing remediation for all students. This district will purchase licenses for various online tools such as Raz Kids, Paper.co (7-8th grades), Seesaw (grades TK - 3), NewsELA, etc.
E) Site literacy support teachers (LSTs) will offer push in support for intensive readers individually and in a small group setting. LSTs will train teachers, BLTs and instructional aides (IAs) on how to conduct effective reading groups. LSTs will attend professional development workshops throughout the year to refine small group instruction practices (Science of Reading).
F) Book Buddies and/or Book Clubs will be encouraged on campus. Funding will help support the implementation and rollout of these programs to include necessary materials and supplies. Hourly pay may be provided for planning and facilitating the programs.

## Students to be served

$\times$ Learners
X Students with
Disabilities

## X All Students

## Other student group(s) English

## Budget and Source

1 FTE Literacy Support Teacher Salary, grades 3-6
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF District -- 5000707

## \$147071

1 FTE Literacy Support Teacher Salary,
grades K-2
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$11,916
Conferences
5800: Professional/Consulting Services And
Operating Expenditures
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$1,000
1 FTE Literacy Support Teacher Salary, grades K-2
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries
Title I Basic -- 3010
\$143242
Teacher Hourly to prepare and conduct parent workshops
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title I Parent Involvement -- 30101902 \$1,500
G) Staff will attend professional development to refine instructional practices in the area of reading and writing.
H) The district will continue to fund Del Sol's after school extended learning opportunities (ELO) program for TK - 8th grade students in need of academic support (math, SEL, ELL and reading intervention).
I) A reading support elective will be added to the master schedule to support students who are struggling with reading.

## Action 1.5

## STEAM Curriculum

$\square \underline{X} \quad$ Modified Action $\quad \square$

## Planned Actions/Services

Del Sol will offer a variety of STEAM elective curriculum and activities, including LEGO curriculum for elementary and MESA curriculum for middle school.
A) Staff will attend professional development to refine instructional practices for STEAM integration.
B) STEAM challenges and competitions such as MESA day at UCR will be funded to include hourly pay for staff, materials and supplies, transportation costs, and registration fees.
C) Subs will be provided for release days for STEAM Excellence Pathway planning with RCOE.
D) A certificated staff member and or media tech will oversee the Del Tech Club on campus. Del Tech will meet throughout the year during elective and/or after school during ELO. Staff members will be compensated hourly for meetings held beyond the contractual day.

## Students to be served

$\mathrm{X} \quad$ Other student group(s) Grades 6-8

## Budget and Source

STEAM Supplies \& Materials 4000-4999: Books And Supplies LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$4,000
Site MESA Coordinator: Hourly time card 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707 \$1,500
Transportation/Buses to competitions and events
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$1,000
Site Lego Coordinator: Hourly time card 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$1,000

## Action 1.6

Essential Services and Supports for Student Learning

## Planned Actions/Services

Teachers and staff will be provided with necessary supplies and services for effective classroom instruction.
A) Staff will have access to copy machines and printers to print necessary materials for classroom instruction. Necessary supplies will be purchased to sustain copy machines and printers such as ink and toner.
B) Staff will have an adequate supply of paper for classroom activities and for use in printers and copy machines.
C) Teachers will have adequate working technology in their classrooms.
D) Lamination supplies will be purchased as needed to support classroom instruction.
E) Maintenance contracts for copiers, poster maker, printers, laminator and other machinery utilized for classroom instruction.
F) Printshop services will available to staff for schoolwide communication and classroom instructional materials.

## Students to be served

X All Students
Other student
X group(s) English Learners

## Action 1.7

Visual and Performing Arts
$\square \underline{X} \quad$ Modified Action $\quad \square$

## Planned Actions/Services

Del Sol will provide opportunities for all students to participate in music and choir lessons/activities.
A) A full time band/choir teacher will provide instrumental music instruction, middle school band, and choir programs for students.

## Students to be served

X All Students

## Budget and Source

Music Teacher Salary
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF District -- 5000707
\$122278
Materials and supplies
4000-4999: Books And Supplies

## Action 1.8

Multi-tier system of supports (MTSS): Academics and Behavior
$\underline{x} \quad$ Modified Action

## Planned Actions/Services

Del Sol will implement support for students who struggle academically, socially and/or emotionally. Del Sol will also offer enrichment programs for students who are working above grade level or who have been recognized as Gifted and Talented.
A) Administrators, SST Coordinators, teachers,English Learner Facilitator, Education Specialists, and LST/MST support staff will meet on a regular basis to review students' academic progress utilizing data such as grades, work samples, state assessment results, ELPAC scores, district assessment results, attendance records, behavior/citizenship logs, and staff/parents feedback.
B) Students Study Teams (SSTs) and 504 meetings will be held for struggling students. Interventions and supports will be identified, implemented, and reviewed during SST meetings. Follow up meetings will also be scheduled. The 504/SST Coordinator will support student access for our English Learners, Students with Disabilities, and African American students. Sub costs will be provided for classroom teacher and SST committee members as needed.
C) Site funds will support additional SST coordinators to support the increased number of SST referrals. SST coordinators will process SST referrals, run student reports, schedule, prepare and conduct SST meetings, and other associated tasks. SST coordinators may provide professional development for staff.
D) Secondary teachers will use Advisory periods to monitor student progress and to assist students with self-monitoring, goal setting, preparing for student led conferences and follow through on goal/action plan

## Students to be served

X All Students
Other student group(s) English
$X \quad$ Learners
African American

Teacher release time to attend SST meetings. 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$8,000
Books \& Resources (MTSS)
4000-4999: Books And Supplies
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$2,000
SST coordinators- hourly pay for planning 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$1,000
E) GATE coordinator will provide training for staff and informational meetings for parents about the GATE program. The coordinator will also help develop and/or update our site GATE plan annually, schedule parent meetings as needed, and monitor the GATE program
F) Enrichment courses and opportunities will be provided for students in the GATE program. Site funds will cover hourly pay for planning and facilitation of GATE enrichment and the cost of materials and supplies.

## Action 1.9

Library \& Media Support

| $\underline{X} \quad$ Unc | Unchanged Action |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planned Actions/Services | Students to be served | Budget and Source |
| A) A full time Library/Media technician will be available to assist students and staff with tech needs, Chromebooks, associated orders and repairs, books, STEAM projects, research, maintaining the library, providing supplemental materials for instruction, and other needs. <br> B) Funding will be provided to purchase materials for library maintenance and to purchase books (popular series books, SEL, and Cultural Diversity books) <br> C) A district provided library assistant supports with library tasks and Chromebook needs. | X All Students <br> Other student group(s) African American Students with 2 or <br> X more races English Learners Students with Disabilities | Library Technician Salary 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries <br> LCFF District -- 5000707 <br> \$79864 <br> Materials/Supplies <br> 4000-4999: Books And Supplies <br> LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707 <br> \$5000 |

## Action 1.10

Inclusion Support

|  | $\underline{X}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

A) Continued professional development for general education teachers, educational specialists, and paraprofessionals to support inclusion (CPI training, Behavior Training, etc.)
B) Site will cover sub costs for release time for general education and education specialist teachers to collaborate and plan.

## Title I Basic -- 3010

\$1,711
Subs to provide teacher release time for collaboration \& training
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$4000
Conference/PD Fees
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$2000

## Action 1.11

Transitional Kindergarten and Kindergarten


## Action 1.12

Math supplemental supports

## Planned Actions/Services

A) The district will purchase licenses for such programs as MAP Accelerator for grades 3-5 and ALEKS math to support 6-8th grade.
B) Teachers, admin and support staff will regularly review student math assessment scores, including NWEA data, to identify students who need intensive intervention support. Special consideration will be given to support students identified as scoring in the very low range on CAASPP (English Learners and Students with Disabilities).
C) Provide hourly pay for teachers to plan for and facilitate trainings for parents.
D) Site Math Support Teachers (MSTs) will offer push in support for intensive learners, individually and in a small group setting. MSTs will provide professional development workshops for staff. MST will continue to attend professional development workshops to refine small group instruction. A focus on Number Sense will be established schoolwide. Data will be used to determine which grade levels receive support. MSTs will support no more than two grade levels in order to provide support four days a week.
E) Math support electives will be available for middle school students who are struggling in math
F) Materials and supplies will be purchased to enhance the math instructional program in grades TK - 8.

## Students to be served

Other student
group(s) African American
x English Learners Students with
Disabilities

## Budget and Source

Materials and Supplies
4000-4999: Books And Supplies
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$5,000
Sub costs or hourly pay (release time for
MSTs to plan)
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$2,000

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

## Goal 2.0

Safe, Orderly and Inviting Learning Environment

## State and/or Local Priorities addressed by this goal:

State Priorities: Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)

Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)
Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)
Local Priorities:

## Identified Need from the Annual Evaluation and Needs Assessment:

The need for additional support to help address students' social emotional needs will help alleviate stress for staff and students and provide much needed support academically. SEL support and resources, as well as additional academic support will continue to be essential. More specifically, mini lessons addressing behavior skills and expectations will be shared with staff and students at the beginning of the school year, and the Second Step program will be used school wide at the start of the school day to support SEL needs across campus. Behavioral, academic, and attendance incentives, including trimester award ceremonies, will be used as an effort to increase student engagement and parental involvement.

Del Sol will continue to implement supports so students can learn to problem solve, effectively communicate, collaborate, develop critical thinking skills, create personal goals, take ownership for their learning, and understand how to better access the curriculum. The BSEL coordinator, PBIS team, school psychologist, counselor and admin team will support the development of these activities and continue to review and improve current PBIS practices and systems to improve student behavior outcomes to better meet their needs. Continued guidance will be provided to staff to support implementation of SEL supports for our students. Peer Mediators and ASB students will enhance leadership skills and help develop, refine, and share our new SOAR to Success (S - Stay Safe, O- Organize Mind and Materials, A- Appreciate Differences, and R-Respect Others) plan with students in order to increase student voice and participation in our school community as we continue to build a positive school culture. Parents will be notified of our Behavior Expectations.

The parent/student handbook and the staff handbook will be updated and reviewed with the school community, as applicable, to include information on how to handle both medical and behavior situations and to address changes in rules and procedures.

## Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Expected Outcome |  |  |
| P1: School facilities are maintained in good repair | DSA school facility report indicates 100\% Good or <br> Better on FIT reports (2021-22 FIT Reports) | All school facility will be maintained as expected. |
| P5: School Attendance Rates (Semester 1 Q SIS) | Attendance rate at $88.12 \%$ |  |

Metric/Indicator
P5: Chronic Absenteeism Rate (2021-2022)
California Dashboard

P5: Middle School dropout rates
P6: Pupil suspension rate

P6: Pupil expulsion rate
P6: Survey of pupils, parents, teachers on sense of safety

## Baseline/Actual Outcome

Chronic Absentee rate of $36.1 \%$ of students are chronically absent

All subgroups were in the 'Very High' range for chronic absenteeism
43.5\% African American
27.5\% Asian
41.9\% English Learners
38.8\% Hispanic
30.3\% Two or More Races
43.1\% Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
46.4\% Students with Disabilities
30.5\% White

Middle School dropout rate: 1.29\%
Pupil suspension rate of $2.3 \%$ (2021-2022), in the medium range overall
11.6\% African American - Very high
1.7\% Hispanic - Medium
5.9\% Two or More Races - High
2.8\% Socioeconomically Disadvantaged - Medium 5\% Students with Disabilities - High
1.3\% White - Medium

Asian, English Learners and Filipino were in the very low ranges for suspensions.

Pupil expulsion rate of $0.8 \%$ (2021-2022)
LCAP Student Survey, Spring 2022:
$47 \%$ of 3 rd - 5 th grade students scored favorably on "How often do you worry about violence in your school?" $56 \%$ of students in 6th - 8th grade scored the same question favorably.
$56 \%$ of 3 rd - 5 th graders stated they "almost never" or "once in a while" worry about violence in school while $47 \%$ of 6 th -8 th graders stated 'almost never' or 'once in a while'.

## Expected Outcome

Decrease Chronic Absentee rate by 2\%

Decrease middle school dropout rate to below 1\% Decrease pupil suspension rate to below 2\%

## Decrease pupil expulsion rate to below .5\%

Increase rate to $60 \%$ for responses of "almost never" or "once in a while"

## Metric/Indicator

P6: Survey of pupils, parents, teachers on sense of safety

P6: Survey of pupils, parents, teachers on sense of safety

## Baseline/Actual Outcome

LCAP Teacher Survey, Spring 2022:
65\% of students in 3rd - 5th grade report that they get a "tremendous", or "quite a bit amount of support" from the adults at the school.

LCAP Parent/Family Survey, Spring 2022:
61\% of parents reported that the school or district is currently doing "extremely well" or "quite well" in the following area: Diversity and Inclusion of all students.

## Expected Outcome

Increase rate to $75 \%$ for responses of "tremendous" or "quite a bit of amount of support"

Increase rate to $65 \%$ for responses of "quite well" or "extremely well"

## Action 2.1

2.1 School Safety
$\square \underline{X} \quad$ Modified Action $\square$

## Planned Actions/Services

Students to be served

X All Students

The principal will work with the Safe School's Site Coordinators to implement our Site Safety Plan.
A)The principal, assistant principal and School Safety Coordinator will develop, implement and revise a school safety plan, including a schedule of emergency drills. Administration will invite representatives of the fire and sheriff's department to walk the facility and give input to the plan.
B) Classified staff, certificated staff, and parent representatives will review the plan and provide feedback via staff meetings, leadership meetings and SSC committee meetings. The plan will be revised and presented to staff before students return in August.

Emergency response supplies, paper, copies, plastic sleeves, buckets, water, snacks, etc 4000-4999: Books And Supplies
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
$\$ 552$
C) School staff will review the plan with students the first week of school. Throughout the school year, our Safe School's Coordinator will disseminate all required emergency response information packets, materials \& equipment, as needed.
D) Monthly school safety drills (earthquake, fire, lockout, lockdown) will be scheduled and facilitated by staff. Staff will evaluate drill effectiveness and revise plan as necessary. Parents/guardians will be notified on an as needed basis so they can dialog with their children.
E) Purchase equipment as necessary and essential for campus security, disaster drills and disaster preparedness to ensure student safety.

## Action 2.2

2.2 Common Sense Media
$\square \underline{X} \quad$ Unchanged Action $\quad \square$

## Planned Actions/Services

Staff and students will participate in Common Sense Media K-8 Digital Citizenship curriculum, comprised of lessons designed to teach students to think critically, behave safely, and participate responsibly in our digital world. Lesson topics include staying safe online, cyber-bullying, protecting your identity, scams and schemes, strategic searching, and copyright use.
A) Site Technology Coordinator will attend related district sponsored training and develop the site technology plan, to include a proposed rollout of the lessons by grade level.
B) Site Technology Coordinator will provide an overview of lessons during staff meetings. Lessons will be posted and linked on shared site, such as Canvas.
C)Teachers will conduct lessons in class with students and during advisory periods for middle school. Teachers review and debrief lesson effectiveness during grade level and site meetings.

## Students to be served

X All Students

Action 2.3
2.3 Behavior Social \& Emotional Learning (BSEL)

## Planned Actions/Services

The administrator and Behavior Social \& Emotional Learning (BSEL) Coordinator will work to develop our BSEL / PBIS plan.
A) The BSEL Coordinator, BSEL / PBIS team, and administrative team, will develop the site BSEL / PBIS plan and revise the plan throughout the year (SOAR to Success). The plan will be communicated to staff, students, and parents. Hourly pay will be provided for work completed outside of contract hours.
B) The BSEL plan will include action steps to connect students to adults and peers on campus by encouraging them to participate in extra-curricular and school related events. Special attention will be given to subgroups that have been identified as having a very high chronic absenteeism rate and a high or very suspension rate as specified on the 2021-2022 California Dashboard data.
C) Teachers will teach short lessons using the district adopted Second Step curriculum which focus on positive, productive school and social behaviors. The BSEL coach will provide staff support with planning and delivering these short lessons. Lessons will be delivered to students during advisory periods and class time.
D) Student incentives and awards will be purchased, and incentive parties will be planned each month. Weekly drawings recognizing students for following school expectations will be utilized. Trimester Award Ceremonies will be held to recognize students' academic achievements and progress, positive behavior, and perseverance.
E) Various assemblies, school announcements, and activities highlighting school expectations, while emphasizing a safe and welcoming environment will be provided. Posters reinforcing expectations will be posted in common student areas throughout campus and in classrooms.
F) A BSEL / PBIS Committee will be established. The team will meet monthly or as needed to discuss issues/concerns, review discipline data, revise BSEL / PBIS plan, brainstorm effective strategies for working with problematic behaviors, and identify rewards/incentives for good behavior/citizenship.

## Students to be served

X All Students
Other student group(s) African American, English
X Learners, Students with Disabilities, and Students with 2 or More Races

## Budget and Source

Hourly Pay for BSEL / PBIS Planning
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707

## \$4,500

Incentives/Awards/Certificates 4000-4999: Books And Supplies
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$3,000
BSEL Curriculum Materials
4000-4999: Books And Supplies
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$1,000
Licenses for positive behavior software
(BASE)
4000-4999: Books And Supplies
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$5,000

Classified and certificated staff will be compensated the hourly rate for attending meetings outside of their work schedule.
G) Administrators will meet with supervisors each trimester to discuss safety issues and concerns on campus, including the playground, hallways, and areas in front of school. Staff will be asked to identify and discuss ways to prevent, deter and react to problematic behavior, as well as ways to connect positively with students. Classified staff be compensated the hourly rate for attending meetings outside of their work schedule.
H) Staff will be offered site and district SEL / Behavior Management professional development opportunities and strategies to support positive student behavior outcomes.
I) A Behavior Health Associate will be added full time to DSA to support with SEL needs.
J) Provide on-site training for staff on disciplinary steps, interventions, and avenues of communication for our African American students, English Learners, and students with disabilities. Provide access and support such as peer counseling and mentoring to support our African American students.

## Action 2.4

Student Engagement and Attendance

| $\underline{X} \quad$ Un | Unchanged Action |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planned Actions/Services | Students to be served |  | Budget and Source |
| A) Increase Registrar work day by three hours, totaling 7 hours to support the office and middle school's Associated Student Body (ASB) program with cash handling and deposits to support ASB fundraising. LCFF funds will cover 2 hours and site discretionary funds will cover 1 hour of the total 3 hours being added to the position. <br> B) ASB will plan, organize, and facilitate school events and activities, mainly support 7-8th grades. ASB will also support school wide events and activities and help create and promote a positive school culture. Student leadership development will be a key area of focus. In addition to meeting during advisory, ASB students will be able to meet during their elective period, after | $\underline{X}$ $\underline{X}$ | All Students <br> Other student group(s) English Learners, African American, Students with Disabilities, and Students with 2 or more races | Registrar Salary <br> 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries <br> LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707 <br> \$19466 <br> Student Incentives <br> 4000-4999: Books And Supplies <br> LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707 <br> \$10,000 <br> Student Store and Snack Bar <br> 4000-4999: Books And Supplies <br> LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707 |

school, during summer, and during ELO to enhance their leadership skills, conduct business, and plan and coordinate for school events/activities.
C) Incentives will be purchased to support and promote positive student behavior outcomes, positive attendance, student engagement activities including clubs and programs. The Five Star Scanners will be used to incentivize schoolwide behavior expectations for middle school students.
D) Students have opportunities to participate in various clubs/programs/elective classes on campus including the elementary and middle school band and choir programs, Del Tech Club, various STEAM electives, Book Buddies, Cross Country, 100 Mile Club, and the Peer Mediation Program.
E) The school will schedule engaging school assemblies, activities and fieldtrips. These events foster positive character development, school safety, academic support, anti-bullying, connectedness to school and an overall positive school climate to motivate students socially and academically, and as a means to increase school attendance.
F) Planning will ensue to coordinate the opening of a Student Store or Snack Bar for grades 6-8. Goals will be to increase leadership opportunities for students and to increase engagement and attendance on campus. Materials and supplies will be ordered to facilitate these programs.
G) An Attendance Committee meets monthly to review attendance data, to discuss ways to increase attendance, and to develop a plan of action. Administrators and staff will talk about the importance of attendance at parent events and during parent meetings. Other school committees will help support these efforts: Leadership, SSC, PTA, BSEL / PBIS, SPED team, etc.

## Action 2.5

Safe and Healthy Environment

|  | Modified Action |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Planned Actions/Services | Students to be served | Budget and Source |

A) Additional hours for campus supervision and Health Clerk Aide have been added, necessitated by the school's growing population, and activities throughout campus.
B) A second Assistant Principal has joined the administrative team.
C) A full time guidance counselor supports positive student behaviors, conducts conflict mediation meetings and offers counseling to prevent conflict, helps reduce suspension rate, and conducts threat assessments. Fifty percent of the counselor position is site funded and the remaining is district funded.
D) Staff will wear district provided lanyards/ID badges. Visitors on campus will be required to check into the office prior to coming on campus. The Raptor System and sign in log will be utilized to screen all visitors. Visitors will be provided with sticker badges and instructed to wear them while they are on campus.
E) To ensure a safe and positive experience for students and parents on our campus, extra campus supervision and extra custodial services will be provided for special campus events that occur during and after school. Compensation will be provided for classified staff in the event they're needed beyond their scheduled hours (ie. inclement weather days, after school events)
F) Office staff will attend professional development in the area of Customer Service to promote a welcoming environment. Materials and supplies will be purchased to enhance our school office and make it more inviting (decor, frames for student artwork, etc.)
G) District's School Resource Officer, Riverside County Health Systems, district staff, and additional community support personnel will be invited to provide optional professional development for staff and/or presentations for students or parents on microaggressions, trauma-informed practices, gang awareness, social media use, sex trafficking, fentanyl, and other topics for middle school students.
H) Leadership opportunities will be provided for our Peer Mediators and ASB members to help improve school culture and promote positive behavior.

X All Students
Other student group(s) African American English Learners
X Students with disabilities Students with 2 or more races

Health Care Aide (HCA) Salary 3 hrs
2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF District -- 5000707

## \$24929

Half-Time Counselor Salary (School funded)
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707

## \$66043

Half-Time Counselor Salary (District funded) 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries
LCFF District -- 5000707

## \$66043

Hourly rate for classified staff for safety coverage.
2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$1000
Activity Supervisors Salaries (2) 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries

## LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707

\$18808
Campus and Activity Supervisor Monthly Meetings
2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$2000
Materials to provide a welcoming office environment
4000-4999: Books And Supplies
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$500
Certificated, hourly pay
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707

## \$2,000

Conference Fees
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures
LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707
\$2,000

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

## Goal 3.0

Parent, Student and Community Engagement

## State and/or Local Priorities addressed by this goal:

| State Priorities: | Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement) |
| Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement) |  |
| Local Priorities: | P3 and P6 |

## Identified Need from the Annual Evaluation and Needs Assessment:

In person and virtual options to attend meetings for various parent groups will be incorporated to allow greater access to families.
A monthly calendar will be provided for the school community from PTA and school administration highlighting school events, school programs, and exciting news

Trimester award ceremonies will help promote academic efforts, AVID participation, attendance \& engagement, and positive behavior.
Along with the 100 Mile Club, intramural sports will be added to support student engagement and promote an active and healthy life-style. We are working with our Parent Involvement and Community Outreach center to provide parenting classes on our site.

## Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

## Metric/Indicator

P3: Survey of Parents/Families (including
Unduplicated Pupils and Individuals with Exceptional Needs) on Family Involvement

P5: Survey of Students, Teachers, and Parents on Student Engagement

## Baseline/Actual Outcome

LCAP Parent/Family Survey
$69 \%$ of parents indicated that it is "extremely important" or "quite important" for the school or district to focus on community partnerships to be successful over the next three years.

LCAP Parent/Family Survey
$77 \%$ of parents indicated that it is "extremely important" or "quite important" for the school or district to focus on student activities and

## Expected Outcome

Increase rate above 75\% for responses of "extremely important" or "quite important"

Increase rate above 80\% for responses of "extremely important" or "quite important"

Metric/Indicator
P5: Survey of Students, Teachers, and Parent on

P5: Survey of Students, Teachers, and Parents on Student Engagement

P5: Survey of Students, Teachers, and Parents on Student Engagement

Baseline/Actual Outcome
extracurricular activities to be successful over the next three years.

## LCAP Teacher Survey:

$66 \%$ of parents report that the school or district is doing "extremely well" or "quite well" in the area of school climate and culture.

## LCAP Student Survey:

61\% of parents report that their children feel a "tremendous sense of belonging" or "quite a bit of sense of belonging" at school.
$\square$
Increase rate above 70\% for responses of "extremely well" or "quite well"

Increase rate above 75\% for responses of "tremendous sense of belonging" or "quite a bit of sense of belonging"

## Planned Strategies/Activities

## Action 3.1

Parent Engagement and Leadership

|  | $\underline{y}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

B) The school will communicate to parents the board policies and other requirements of the Transitional Kindergarten program. Parents will be provided with steps for registration.
C) Our PTA president and Admin TSA attended PELI trainings in the 2022 2023 school year. Ideas will be implemented this school year to increase parent participation on campus.

## Action 3.2

Parent Involvement Opportunities

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

results. Progress reports and report cards will be provided for students and parents according to the district calendar.
D) Parents are provided the opportunity to participate in district parenting classes or community events that will assist their children.
E) Del Sol will host and invite parents and families to student recognition and awards assemblies throughout the year, which honor students who demonstrate exemplary citizenship, grades, academic achievement, community service, reaching the EL redesignation milestone (EL to RFEP), attaining personal/academic and social goals.

## Budget Summary and Consolidation

The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp. The Consolidation of Funds is required for a school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp and consolidating those funds as part of a schoolwide program.

## Budget Summary

## Description

Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application

Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA

Amount
213,184

931,424.00

## Allocations by Funding Source

| Funding Source | Amount | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Title I Basic -- 3010 | 203,290 | 0.00 |
| Title I Parent Involvement --3010 1902 | 3,049 | 0.00 |
| Title III LEP -- 4203 | 6,845 | 0.00 |
| LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707 | 261,855 | 0.00 |
| LCFF District --500 0707 | 440,185 | 0.00 |
| LCFF VAPA -- 0763 | 4,200 | 0.00 |
| LCFF AVID-0765 | 12,000 | 0.00 |

## Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source

| LCFF AVID - 0765 |
| :--- |
| LCFF District -- 5000707 |
| LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707 |
| LCFF VAPA -- 0763 |
| Title I Basic -- 3010 |
| Title I Parent Involvement -- 30101902 |
| Title III LEP --4203 |


| Amount |
| :---: |
| $12,000.00$ |
| $440,185.00$ |
| $261,855.00$ |
| $4,200.00$ |
| $203,290.00$ |
| $3,049.00$ |
| $6,845.00$ |

## Expenditures by Budget Reference

## Budget Reference

| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries |
| :--- |
| 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies |
| 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures |
| 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures |


| Amount |
| :---: |
| $609,075.00$ |
| $226,062.00$ |
| $77,287.00$ |
| $12,000.00$ |
| $7,000.00$ |

Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

| Budget Reference |
| :--- |
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies |
| 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And |
| Operating Expenditures |
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries |
| 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries |
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries |
| 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies |
| 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating |
| Expenditures |
| 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And |
| Operating Expenditures |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies |
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries |
| 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies |
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries |
| 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies |
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries |


| Funding Source |
| :--- |
| LCFF AVID - 0765 |
| LCFF AVID - 0765 |
| LCFF AVID - 0765 |
| LCFF District -- 5000707 |
| LCFF District -- 500 0707 |
| LCFF Suppl/Conc -- 0707 |
| LCFF SuppI/Conc -- 0707 |
| LCFF SuppI/Conc -- 0707 |
| LCFF SuppI/Conc -- 0707 |
| LCFF SuppI/Conc -- 0707 |
| LCFF VAPA -- 0763 |
| Title I Basic -- 3010 |
| Title I Basic -- 3010 |
| Title I Basic -- 3010 |
| Title I Parent Involvement -- 30101902 |
| Title I Parent Involvement -- 30101902 |


| Amount |
| :---: |
| $3,000.00$ |
| $3,000.00$ |
| $6,000.00$ |
| $335,392.00$ |
| $104,793.00$ |
| $120,330.00$ |
| $71,073.00$ |
| $57,452.00$ |
| $12,000.00$ |
| $1,000.00$ |
| $4,200.00$ |
| $144,953.00$ |
| $48,449.00$ |
| $9,888.00$ |
| $2,000.00$ |
| 197.00 |
| 852.00 |
| 400.00 |

## School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

1 School Principal
3 Classroom Teachers
1 Other School Staff
5 Parent or Community Members
0 Secondary Students

| Name of Members | Role |
| :---: | :---: |
| Corrine Ortiz | Principal |
| Jessica Schmidt | Classroom Teacher |
| Amanda Molina | Classroom Teacher |
| Katherine Burts | Classroom Teacher |
| MaryJane Razook | Other School Staff |
| Kerri Bollin | Parent or Community Member |
| Rania Hamdy | Parent or Community Member |
| Nicole More | Parent or Community Member |
| Sowjanya Chilukuri | Parent or Community Member |
| Kelin Covington | Parent or Community Member |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

## Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:
Signature
Committee or Advisory Group Name


English Learner Advisory Committee

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on May 22, 2023.
Attested:


Principal, Corrine Ortiz on May 22, 2023

SSC Chairperson, MaryJane Razook on May 22, 2023


[^0]:    P4: Statewide Assessment - California School Dashboard (CASDB) Academic Indicator - ELA Distance from Standard (DFS)

